

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,691	12/17/2001	Touru Terada	Q67592	8085
7	590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, I	MION, ZINN,		TRAN, LEN	
MACPEAK &	SEAS, PLLC ania Avenue N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725	
Washington, I				

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\wp \sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/015,691	TERADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Len Tran	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mail than the part of the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication (CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the specification of the specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	awn from consideration. for election requirement. her. herecepted or b) □ objected to by the leed to a december of the leed to be designed and the leed to be designed. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata et al (US 6,193,132), and further in view of Matsumoto et al (US 6,337,489).

Shibata et al disclose the apparatus for bonding comprising of a bonding tool (12), a moving mechanism for moving the bonding tool, a chip recognition camera (14) disposed to be lower than a level of a substrate mounted surface of the substrate stage to thereby

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

recognize the chip held by the bonding tool as shown in the figures (col. 6, lines 10-16). Shibata et al also disclose a substrate recognition camera (16) disposed above the substrate stage to recognize the substrate mounted on the substrate stage, and wherein the chip and substrate are subjected to positioning on the basis of recognition results of the chip recognition camera and the substrate recognition camera (figures 1, 5, 7, col. 4, lines 4-11, lines 25-37). In addition, the chip recognition camera is capable of locating the chip within plus and minus 5 mm of a plane of the substrate.

Shibata et al fail to disclose an up and down mechanism to control the bonding tool and a chip tray located lower than the bonding surface of the substrate.

However, Shibata et al disclose the bonding tool to move in a horizontal direction and the stage (10) move upward for bonding. Therefore, setting only the bonding tool to both move in the horizontal and vertical direction would have been obvious, since Shibata et al explicitly discloses such movement for bonding both chip and substrate.

However, Matsumoto et al is introduced to show the vertical movement of the bonding tool and the location of the chip tray to be lower than the level of the chip bonding surface of the substrate for the purpose of positioning the chip on the substrate with high precision.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a vertical mechanism for moving the bonding tool and a lower chip tray as taught by Matsumoto et al, in Shibata et al in order to position the chip to the substrate with high precision.

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on June 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Matsumoto fails to teach a chip recognition camera focused so as to recognize a lower surface of a chip when the lower surface of the chip is located between plus or minus five millimeter of a plane in which is located a chip bonding surface of the substrate. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Since the claim pertains to an apparatus claim, the apparatus of Matsumoto is capable of locating the chip within plus and minus 5 mm of a plane of the substrate. Therefore, claims 1-4 remain rejected.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/015,691

Art Unit: 1725

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiley Stoner A4 1725 Whysten 7/27/04 Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT July 28, 2004